

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Excellent	N/A
2002	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003 2004	Average	Excellent	N/A

TENTH GRADE PASSA	GE OF ONE	or More	SUBTES	TS OF TH	E EXIT EX	KAM
		Our School High Schools with Students Like Ours				
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003
Passed all 3 subtests	67.4	52.1	61.3	50.7	45.8	44.1
Passed 2 subtests	11.6	23.4	17.2	22.3	23.5	25.1
Passed 1 subtest	11.6	12.8	16.1	14.4	17.3	15.7
Passed no subtests	9.5	11.7	5.4	12.6	13.3	14.7

Name	PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS							
All Students 87 92.0 99 2.0 108 72.2 Gender Male 39 87.2 45 0.0 51 60.8 Female 48 95.8 54 3.7 57 82.5 Race or Ethnic Group African American 72 90.3 86 1.2 92 70.7 Hispanic N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A White 15 100.0 13 7.7 16 81.3 Other N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 6 50.0 14 0.0 21 0.0 Students without disabilities 81 95.1 85 2.4 87 89.7 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Female 1 99 2.0				Eligibility Scholar	Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships*		Graduation Rate	
Gender Male 39 87.2 45 0.0 51 60.8 Female 48 95.8 54 3.7 57 82.5 Race or Ethnic Group African American 72 90.3 86 1.2 92 70.7 Hispanic N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A White 15 100.0 13 7.7 16 81.3 Other N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 6 50.0 14 0.0 21 0.0 Students without disabilities 81 95.1 85 2.4 87 89.7 Migrant Status Migrant Table N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A English Proficiency <th>All Students</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	All Students							
Female 48 95.8 54 3.7 57 82.5 Race or Ethnic Group African American 72 90.3 86 1.2 92 70.7 Hispanic N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A White 15 100.0 13 7.7 16 81.3 Other N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 6 50.0 14 0.0 21 0.0 Students without disabilities 81 95.1 85 2.4 87 89.7 Migrant Status Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant 2 I/S 99 2.0 0 N/A English Proficiency 2 I/S 99 2.0 108 72.2 Lunch Status Subsidized meals<		O,	02.0		2.0	100	,	
Race or Ethnic Group African American 72 90.3 86 1.2 92 70.7 Hispanic N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A White 15 100.0 13 7.7 16 81.3 Other N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 6 50.0 14 0.0 21 0.0 Students without disabilities 81 95.1 85 2.4 87 89.7 Migrant Status N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 87 92.0 99 2.0 108 72.2 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 57 89.5	Male	39	87.2	45	0.0	51	60.8	
African American 72 90.3 86 1.2 92 70.7 Hispanic N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A White 15 100.0 13 7.7 16 81.3 Other N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 6 50.0 14 0.0 21 0.0 Students without disabilities 81 95.1 85 2.4 87 89.7 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant 2 I/S 99 2.0 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 87 92.0 99 2.0 108 72.2 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 57 89.5 65 0.0 77 62.3	Female	48	95.8	54	3.7	57	82.5	
Hispanic N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A White 15 100.0 13 7.7 16 81.3 Other N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A N/A 0 N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 6 50.0 14 0.0 21 0.0 Students without disabilities 81 95.1 85 2.4 87 89.7 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant 2 N/S 99 2.0 0 N/A Disability Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 87 92.0 99 2.0 108 72.2 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 57 89.5 65 0.0 77 62.3	Race or Ethnic Group							
White 15 100.0 13 7.7 16 81.3 Other N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 6 50.0 14 0.0 21 0.0 Students without disabilities 81 95.1 85 2.4 87 89.7 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant 2 I/S 99 2.0 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 87 92.0 99 2.0 108 72.2 Lunch Status 57 89.5 65 0.0 77 62.3	African American	72	90.3	86	1.2	92	70.7	
Other N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 6 50.0 14 0.0 21 0.0 Students without disabilities 81 95.1 85 2.4 87 89.7 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant 2 I/S 99 2.0 0 N/A English Proficiency 2 Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 87 92.0 99 2.0 108 72.2 Lunch Status 57 89.5 65 0.0 77 62.3	•			0	N/A	0	N/A	
Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 6 50.0 14 0.0 21 0.0 Students without disabilities 81 95.1 85 2.4 87 89.7 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant 2 I/S 99 2.0 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 87 92.0 99 2.0 108 72.2 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 57 89.5 65 0.0 77 62.3	White	15	100.0	13	7.7	16	81.3	
Non-speech disabilities 6 50.0 14 0.0 21 0.0 Students without disabilities 81 95.1 85 2.4 87 89.7 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant 2 I/S 99 2.0 0 N/A English Proficiency Emitted English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 87 92.0 99 2.0 108 72.2 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 57 89.5 65 0.0 77 62.3	Other	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Migrant Status 81 95.1 85 2.4 87 89.7 Migrant Status Wigrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant 2 I/S 99 2.0 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 87 92.0 99 2.0 108 72.2 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 57 89.5 65 0.0 77 62.3	Disability Status							
Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant 2 I/S 99 2.0 0 N/A English Proficiency Emplish Proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 87 92.0 99 2.0 108 72.2 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 57 89.5 65 0.0 77 62.3	Non-speech disabilities	6	50.0	14	0.0	21	0.0	
Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant 2 I/S 99 2.0 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 87 92.0 99 2.0 108 72.2 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 57 89.5 65 0.0 77 62.3	Students without disabilities	81	95.1	85	2.4	87	89.7	
Non-migrant 2 I/S 99 2.0 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 87 92.0 99 2.0 108 72.2 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 57 89.5 65 0.0 77 62.3	Migrant Status							
English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 87 92.0 99 2.0 108 72.2 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 57 89.5 65 0.0 77 62.3	Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 87 92.0 99 2.0 108 72.2 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 57 89.5 65 0.0 77 62.3	Non-migrant	2	I/S	99	2.0	0	N/A	
Non-LEP 87 92.0 99 2.0 108 72.2 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 57 89.5 65 0.0 77 62.3	English Proficiency							
Lunch Status Subsidized meals 57 89.5 65 0.0 77 62.3	Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Subsidized meals 57 89.5 65 0.0 77 62.3	Non-LEP	87	92.0	99	2.0	108	72.2	
	Lunch Status							
Full-pay meals 30 96.7 34 5.9 31 96.8	Subsidized meals	57	89.5	65	0.0	77	62.3	
	Full-pay meals	30	96.7	34	5.9	31	96.8	

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at	2.0	2.7
four-year institutions* Seniors who met the SAT requirement	2.0	3.7
Seniors who met the grade point average	46.5	33.1

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

Hemingway High 4501006

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 456)				
Retention rate	8.5%	Down from 11.2%	7.5%	7.3%
Attendance rate	95.2%	Up from 95.1%	94.9%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	6.0%	Up from 5.4%	1.9%	5.1%
With disabilities other than speech	22.8%	Up from 20.1%	16.4%	12.2%
Older than usual for grade	11.8%	Up from 10.7%	18.5%	10.1%
Suspended or expelled	0.4%	Down from 4.2%	1.1%	2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	11.5%	N/A	N/A	10.2%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Annual dropout rate Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	2.0%	Down from 3.9%	2.3%	2.7%
	6.8%	Down from 8.8%	3.6%	3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	154	Down from 325	254	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	6.0%	Down from 9.5%	20.8%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	92.0%	Up from 87.0%	68.3%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	93.9%	Up from 93.8%	97.3%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 43)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	62.8%	Up from 61.3%	48.9%	51.7%
	90.7%	Down from 93.5%	79.0%	81.8%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	87.5%	Down from 89.8%	79.6%	85.1%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	96.5%	Up from 93.8%	95.1%	95.8%
	\$40,036	Up 2.2%	\$40,138	\$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	8.7 days	Down from 9.9 days	11.1 days	10.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school	19.0	Up from 18.0	2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	28.2 to 1	Down from 28.8 to 1	20.3 to 1	26.2 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	90.6%	Up from 87.5%	87.8%	90.1%
	\$6,680	Up 6.9%	\$8,159	\$6,279
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	56.5%	Up from 56.0%	56.7%	57.8%
	Good	No change	Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	50.4%	Down from 86.1%	82.0%	87.8%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.	,50		,55	, 30

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

Hemingway High 4501006

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The mission of Hemingway High School is to prepare all students for success in a changing world by providing a safe, healthy environment; an innovative, community supported curriculum; and an exceptional staff. We believe that all students can learn. We believe that individuals are responsible for their actions and that everyone deserves respect. It is the belief of Hemingway High School that all differences should be respected and that it takes an entire community to educate a child. We feel that learning occurs best in a safe and healthy environment and that the total curriculum should allow each child the opportunity to develop his/her full potential. We believe that a sound education will lead to a successful and productive life, and we also believe in a Supreme Being.

Parents, teachers, administrators, and the community have high expectations for our students. Among our accomplishments are the following: The average SAT/ACT scores increased; seniors were awarded scholarships in excess of \$90,000; two students received Palmetto Fellows scholarships; a substantial number of students received military scholarships; several students were elected to FBLA district and state offices; the boys' basketball team won the State "A" basketball championship; several of our students won gold medals in the state track meet; and all of our athletic teams participated in post-season tournaments.

Our plans for the upcoming school year include the following: All college prep students will be required to take SAT/ACT Preparation courses. Workshops for students and teachers will be conducted. The exit exam remediation program will be expanded to include reading, math, and writing. Honors students will be selected more carefully and will be encouraged to continue with AP courses. We expect our parental involvement to increase. We will conduct workshops for teachers on PACT as well as state standards and technology.

W. Ronald Williamson Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS						
	Teachers	Students	Parents			
Number of surveys returned	49	101	52			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	83.7%	62.2%	70.6%			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	77.6%	59.4%	61.2%			
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	40.4%	73.3%	48.1%			

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
 - Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.